UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Robot-aided gait training in neurological patients with the LOPES device

Edwin van Asseldonk



Overview

- Impaired walking ability in Spinal Cord Injury (SCI)
- Robot aided gait training in SCI
- Challenges in robot aided gait training
- Robot aided gait training with LOPES
 - Selective support of subtasks to increase patient participation
 - First clinical trial in chronic stroke survivors
- Future directions

Walking in spinal cord injury

- Spinal cord injury results in impaired walking ability due to:
 - Reduced coordination
 - Leg paresis
 - Impaired balance
- Improvements in function
 - Neural plasticity
 - Regenaration and neural repair
 - Muscle strength
 - Compensation
- High priority for restoration of walking [Ditunno et al, 2008]

Prognosis of regaining walking ability

Asia	Regaining ambulation	Dobkin et Al (2006)
A – sensory-motor complete	3% (some ambulatory function)	
B – motor complete, sensory imcomplete	50%	35%
C – sensory-motor incomplete, little strength	75%	92%
D – sensory-motor incomplete, litte impairment	95%	92%

Regaining walking ability



Van Hedel et al, 2009

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Robot aided gait training – Rational

- Control of locomotion
 - Central Pattern Generators (CPG): generate rhythmic spatiotemporal muscle activity patterns based on sensory input (load receptors and hip angle)
 - Supraspinal input
- Robots can provide afferent stimuli to drive CPG



Robots can provide task specific and intensive training without placing a heavy physical burden on therapists

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Effectiveness of robot aided gait training

- 20 chronic montor incomplete SCI patient (ASIA C and D)
- Pre-post design
- 3 to 5 training sessions with the Lokomat per week over 8 weeks





Wirz et al, 2005

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Overview of Effectiveness

- Cochrane review Mehrholz (2008)
 - No statistical beneficial effect of
 - Robotic assistance
 - Functional electrical stimulation
- Very limited number of randomized clinical trials,
 - Different studies in progress:
 - Hornby
 - Fieldfote
 - Behrman
 - Van Nunen (RCA, Amsterdam)

Further optimization of therapy required

Metabolic cost is lower during robotic-assisted treadmill training than during manual-assisted training



Lower metabolic cost during quiet stance due to stabilization provided by the robot

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Robot aided gait training – Challenges

- Incorporate knowledge about motor learning/recovery in design and control of robots
 - Improve active participation
 - Allow subject to make errors
 - Support different recovery mechanisms
 - Recovery
 - Compensation

Design controllers that only "assist as needed"

Assist as needed

- Assist As Needed requires interactive control
 - Control interaction forces between robot and patient (impedance and or admittance control)
- Imposes new challenges
 - Appropriate type: what should be supported?
 - Appropriate timing: when should the subject be supported?
 - Critical in walking
 - Appropriate level: how much support should be given?

Basic idea: apply assistance of specific subtasks

- Gait consists of different subtasks that have to be accomplished successfully to progress without falling
- Support each subtask during the appropriate phase of walking



Proof of principle for selective control of subtasks

s.

Proof of principle: selective support of subtasks in healthy subjects

- Algorithms developed for
 - Support in foot clearance
 - Support in making a step
 - Support in weight bearing
- Control algorithms were implemented in LOPES
- Tested in healthy subjects and chronic stroke survivors



LOPES: light weight impedance controlled device with 8 degrees of freedom

Veneman et al [2007]

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Selective support by using Virtual Model Control Explained for step height support

- Generate reference trajectory
- Calculate virtual force

$$z < z_{ref} \implies F_z = K_z \left(z_{ref} - z \right)$$

$$z > z_{ref} \implies F_z = 0$$

Calcute desired joint torques

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\tau}_{hip} \\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{knee} \end{pmatrix} = {}^{a}_{h} \boldsymbol{J}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{F}_{z} \end{pmatrix}$$



Healthy subject walking with support of step height



UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Support of step height only affects step height and leaves remaining of walking pattern unaffected



Chronic stroke survivor walking in LOPES



UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Selective support of step height induces effects in supported and unsupported degrees of freedom that outlast the exposure time



Conventional body weight support

 Conventional: Body weight support by using harness and overhead suspension system.

sin

- Provides stability to trunk
- Provides support to both legs
- Reduces input to load sensors of feet
- Weight support is coupled to balance control



Alsopapplices for frontal colone!

Selective support of body weight

- Selective support of body weight
 - Provide knee and hip torques to support the weight at a joint level.
 - Provide a virtual downward force at the ankle
 - Set as a percentage of body weight
 - Set for each leg individually



Selective weight support results in increased knee and hip extension during midstance



Interspersed catch trials show exaggerated flexion, which indicates adaptation to the support UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE. 18e Mini symposium Dwarsleasie

Selective weight support leaves other gait parameters unaffected



UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Summary proof of principle for selective control of subtasks

- The implemented control algorithms allow us to selectively support
 - Step height
 - Step length
 - Body weight support
- Stroke survivors experienced the support as comfortable and the support encouraged them to improve the performance of the subtask
- Control algorithms for other subtasks are under development
- Selective support of subtasks provides support with
 - ☑ Appropriate type
 - ☑ Appropriate timing
 - ? Appropriate amount

How to provide the subject with the appropriate amount of support? UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE. 18e Mini symposium Dwarsleasie

Clinical trial in chronic stroke survivors

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

s.

First clinical effect study with LOPES

- Research questions:
 - Does robot aided gait training result in improved knee flexion during overground walking in stroke survivors with stiff knee gait?
 - Can possible improvements be ascribed to the received support?
- Evaluation
 - Clinical gait analysis



Robotic support does not have a clear beneficial effect in improving knee flexion during swing



Change in knee flexion could partly be ascribed to change in walking velocity

Summary clinical trial in chronic stroke survivors

- Robot aided gait training can result in a partly restoration of movement patterns to premorbid levels in chronic stroke survivors.
 - In the small group of subjects, the kind of support did not seem to influence whether recovery occurred or not
 - Improvements were subject dependent
 - What determines whether subjects can still improve
 - Changes were rather small
 - Limited room in chronic stroke survivors for recovery
 - Should compensation strategies be restrained?
- Open questions:
 - What will the effect of this kind of support be in subacute stroke survivors?

Summary incorporate automatic adaptation of amount of support into selective control of subtasks

- Incorporation of automatic adaptation of impedance in the selective support control:
 - Appropriate type
 - ☑ Appropriate timing
 - Appropriate amount: reduces the need for the therapist/operator
 to set the amount of support on a trial and error basis
- Optimal settings of adaptation algorithm are yet unknown

Does the support result in lasting effects in stroke survivors?

Future directions

- LOPES is going to be redesigned and placed in rehabilitation centers (Roessingh, Sint Maartenskliniek)
- Application in other patient populations will be investigated
 - Spinal cord injury!
 - Additional requirements?
 - Spasms
 - Clonus
 - Bilateral



- Different support algorithms will be investigated to determine the optimal way to facilitate recovery
 - Subject specific!

Supported by





Thanks to:

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

H van der Kooij, PhD Prof. F van der Helm, PhD B Koopman, M.Sc J van den Hoek, PT, M.Sc M Folkersma, PT, M.Sc J Veneman, PhD R Ekkelenkamp, M.Sc



J Buurke, PT, PhD Prof H Rietman, PhD C Simons, M.Sc M Postma, PT



B Renzenbrink, MD

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.